

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Misc. No. M-34949 of 2017
Date of Decision:-22.09.2017**

Richhpal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anil Ghanghas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH J.(Oral)

The allegations against the petitioner in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. are that on 10.5.2017 from the conscious possession of the petitioner 220 grams of *charas* has been recovered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged recovery is non-commercial and the petitioner is behind the bars since 10.5.2017 and that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future.

Though, learned State counsel has not disputed the factual scenario but has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that recovery of intoxicant has been effected from his possession.

Appreciating the submissions, since the non-commercial quantity is alleged to have been recovered and that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

September 22, 2017
Vijay Asija

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No