

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 34946 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION :- December 20, 2017

Amar Dutt

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Ms. Sakshi Saini, Advocate
for Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and his sister has since executed the sale deed in favour of the complainant. Learned counsel for the complainant concedes this fact.

Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and in terms of the settlement between the parties, his custodial interrogation is not required.

Under such circumstances, the interim bail granted to the petitioner on 26.10.2017 is made absolute, subject to his fulfilling conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed accordingly.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

December 20, 2017

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No