

**237 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.35794 of 2016

Date of Decision: 18.01.2017

GAGAN BRAR

-PETITIONER

VS

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ramesh K. Dhaniya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ashima Mor, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to
respondents No.1 to 3 for protection of her life and liberty from
being invaded by respondents No.4 to 6.

Respondents No.4 and 5 are the parents whereas
respondent No.6 is brother of the petitioner.

On 04.10.2016, while issuing notice to the private

respondents, a report from Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh was also required to be submitted. Interim direction was issued that the petitioner may not be forcibly taken away by the private respondents. Thereafter, on 18.10.2016, statements of private respondents No.4 to 6 were recorded to the effect that they have no such intention to interfere in the life and liberty of the petitioner, however, petitioner would be at liberty to rejoin the parental house and her joining would be welcome.

The apprehension of the private respondents No.4 to 6 was that the petitioner may not fall in any trap of bad elements. Today, respondents No.4 and 5 are present along with their counsel.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 candidly submitted that the private respondents have no intention to interfere in the personal life of the petitioner who is major, educated and serving in Chandigarh.

Learned APP for UT, Chandigarh on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh and SI Dharampal also submitted that

police would take prompt action in case any apprehension is shown by the petitioner in future in respect of violation of her legal rights at the hands of private respondents.

Since, parties have no surviving issue as on date, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition having become infructuous at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

18.01.2017
jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No