

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-34928 of 2017
Date of Decision: 01.11.2017**

Sandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Narinder Kumar Suneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Addl. AG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.121 dated 25.05.2017, under Sections 420/120-B/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR are that he had fraudulently opened an account by wrongly spelling the name of the firm, which made him the sole owner, although the complainant was a partner in the concern. It is also alleged that the petitioner has not been making the due payment of the profit earned by the firm to the complainant. Learned counsel, however, contends that the complainant who is partner of the firm, is also related to the petitioner and due to differences in business, the petitioner had filed a suit for rendition of accounts in 2015 and the FIR has been filed as a counter blast after two years. He also states that in any event, the petitioner is also willing to settle the matter with the complainant.

This Court, by the order dated 19.09.2017 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C.

Learned State Counsel, upon instructions from HC Hardev Singh, states that in pursuance to the order dated 19.09.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 19.09.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 01, 2017
Jyoti-IV

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether reportable : YES/NO