

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35753 of 2016

Date of decision: February 07, 2017

Ram Niwas and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In complaint case No.34/12, titled Harbans Singh versus Rajinder Singh Rathree and others, under Sections 193, 195, 196, 197, 199, 200, 206, 211, 129 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Mr. M.K. Dogra, learned counsel for respondent No.2 has vehemently opposed the bail petition on the ground that the police personnel are threatening respondent No.2.

Looking to the nature of offence in the complaint against the petitioners, I am inclined to confirm the interim order dated 05.10.2016.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 05.10.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 07, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No