

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.34849 of 2017

Date of Decision: September 22, 2017

Paramjit Singh alias Mota

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Prateek Sodhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Custody certificate produced by the learned State Counsel
today in Court is taken on record.

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of regular bail.

The petitioner was arrested in FIR No.45 dated 7.7.2017 for
commission of an offence punishable under Section 379B IPC for snatching
the motorcycle from the complainant.

Mr.Prateek Sodhi, learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that there has been a delay of 20 days in lodging the FIR. No doubt, the
motorcycle has been recovered, but it has not been ascertained as to from
whom. The petitioner is also involved in another FIR No.77 of 2017 under
Sections 399/402 and 411 IPC registered at Police Station, Kathunangal and
remained in custody for 1 month and 12 days, but later on released on bail.

Ms.Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab, on instructions from HC

Chanchal Masih, does not dispute the involvement of the petitioner in FIR No.77 of 2017 and release on bail, but submits that the investigation is on and the challan is yet to be filed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and appraised the paper book.

Prima-facie, I am of the view that since the petitioner has been involved in second FIR in a short span of two days, he should not be granted the concession of regular bail.

Without expressing on the merits of the case, the petition for grant of regular bail is dismissed.

September 22, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No