

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr. No.278)

CRM-M-38677-2012  
Date of Decision:-April 18, 2017

Deep Shikha and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate,  
for respondent No.3.

**A.B. Chaudhari, J.** (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.419 dated 02.09.2012 registered under Sections 323, 406 and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Palwal, District Palwal (Haryana), the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.3 state that compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had made an order dated January 20, 2017 directing the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements, in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is report received from the District & Sessions Judge, Palwal, showing that the statements of the

**CRM-M-38677-2012**

parties have been recorded and compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to leave in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.419 dated 02.09.2012 registered under Sections 323, 406 and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Palwal, District Palwal (Haryana) is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

**April 18, 2017**  
Pankaj

**(A.B. Chaudhari)**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No