

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-35693 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.9.2017

Paramjit Kaur and another Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior DAG Punjab.
None for respondents No. 4 and 5.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners had some grievance of being cheated. The reply of the State shows that on inquiry, it was found that it is a dispute of transaction of amount, registration of sale deed of plot and not to register the sale deed between both the parties. Therefore, it is a dispute of civil nature and no action from the police is required.

It being so, the police is directed to supply the copy of the report to the petitioners, so that they can take further action in accordance with the law, within two weeks. The petitioners are at liberty to seek appropriate remedy before the civil or criminal court, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.9.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No