

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of decision:17.3.2017

1. CRM No.M-39215 of 2011

Seema Sharma

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

2. CRM No.M-16103 of 2012

Seema Sharma

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

3. CRM No.M-16104 of 2012

Seema Sharma

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

4. CRM No.M-16105 of 2012

Sukhbir Singh @ Raju Bhullar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.S.P.S.Tinna, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s)
Mr.A.K.Khunger, Advocate, and
Ms.Kashika Kaur, Advocate,
for the complainant(s)
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all the

petitions, i.e. three filed by Seema Sharma and one by Sukhbir Singh @ Raju Bhullar, have been rendered infructuous, in view of the fact that the complaints out of which these petitions arise, have been withdrawn by the respondent-complainant.

Dismissed having been rendered infructuous.

A photocopy of this order be also placed on the files of the other connected matters.

17.3.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No