

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1) CRM M-34835 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.10.2017.

Bir Bikramjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

(2) CRM M-35729 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.10.2017.

Amandeep Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. N.P.S. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. AG Punjab.

Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This judgment shall dispose of afore-mentioned two petitions seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 60 dated 11.07.2017 registered under Sections 307, 452, 427, 506 and 323 read with Section 34 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Ramdass, District Amritsar and Sections 498-A and 316 IPC recorded vide DDR No.39 dated 18.09.2017 and Section 406 IPC vide DDR No.18 dated 26.08.2017.

It is contended that the medical check-ups of wife of brother of the petitioner, Amandeep Kaur were got conducted and it was found that the condition of her foetus was not good, therefore, abortion was advised by the doctors. Accordingly, brother of the petitioner, namely, Sukhchain Singh got his wife Gagandeep Kaur admitted in Dhingra Hospital, Amritsar and after getting consent of Sukhchain Singh and his wife, the foetus was aborted by the doctor. In this regard, parents of Gagandeep Kaur were also informed. The story of firing is totally false.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant oppose the bail applications. It is submitted that names of the petitioners are specifically mentioned in the FIR.

Heard.

As per the case of the prosecution, petitioner Bir Bikramjit Singh along with his son Sukhchain Singh (non-applicant) and daughter Amandeep Kaur went to the house of the complainant on 10.07.2017 at 6.00 p.m. They threatened that they would kill Gagandeep Kaur because she would deliver only female child. Amandeep Kaur caught Gagandeep Kaur from her hair and threw her on the ground. Sukhchain Singh fired two shots in the air and the third one towards the complainant to kill him but due to hit given by the wife of the complainant, the bullet hit on the wall. The accused fled away

from the spot. The Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required to unearth the truth. Keeping in view the role assigned to the petitioners, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out.

Consequently, both the petitions are dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

A photocopy of this order be placed in the file of other connected case(s).

30.10.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No