

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34832 of 2017.

Decided on:-18.09.2017.

Bebi and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to provide protection to the petitioners and not to interfere in the married life of the petitioners and their daughter aged about 4 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that earlier, petitioner No.1 Bebi was married with one Charan Singh about 12 years ago. However, the petitioner No.1 and her first husband mutually agreed to be separated from each other and a final compromise was arrived between them in the presence of near ones, friends and relatives of both of them in the year 2009. Thereafter, the petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2 got married in the year 2010 and out of this wedlock, a daughter, namely, Ruhi was born on 27.12.2013. Immediately after the marriage in the year 2010, the petitioners had shifted to Haridwar so as to earn their livelihood. However, now, they have returned back to village Leda Khas, District Yamuna Nagar, but the family members and relatives of petitioner No.1 were not happy with this

marriage and the petitioners apprehend threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 i.e. the relatives of petitioner No.1 Bebi.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that for the said threat, the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 07.09.2017 (Annexure P-5) addressed to the respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar. He states that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to consider the said representation made by the petitioners regarding protection of their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 and 2 only.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Heard.

Without making any observation on the claim of the petitioners as narrated above, but considering the fact that the petitioners have alleged that they have threat to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to look into the contents of representation dated 07.09.2017 (Annexure P-5) and to act in accordance with law.

September 18, 2017
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No