

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201/2

**CRM-M-34819-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 19.12.2017**

SUKHJINDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gobind Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.57 dated 21.08.2017, under Sections 448, 511, 454, 427, 188, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Bhaini Mian Khan, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that all the afore-noted sections are bailable, except Section 454 IPC. He further states that as per the contents of FIR, prima facie case under Section 454 IPC is not made out and even otherwise, it is punishable with a maximum imprisonment up to three years.

This Court, by the order dated 30.11.2017, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting

Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Avtar Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, the order dated 30.11.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

19.12.2017*SwarnjitS***(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether reportable :	Yes / No