

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34761-2017
Date of decision-18.09.2017**

Pawan Kumar Sharma

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.S.Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in complaint No.6226 dated 16.08.2012 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') and Sections 4, 6 and 7 of Dowry Prohibition Act titled as "Hema Sharma Vs. Pawan Kumar Sharma" which is pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ropar.

Contentends that the petitioner could not cause appearance before the learned trial Court due to hospitalization of his mother. Though she had been discharged on 10.07.2017, however, due to the recurrence of the problem, her condition remained serious. Except the petitioner, there is no one in the family to look after the ailing mother. He further contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

Heard.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. A.S.Gill, Senior DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the

paper book has been furnished to the learned counsel, in the Court.

In this view of the above, it is ordered that in case the petitioner surrenders before the competent Court within ten days from today and files a regular bail petition, he be admitted to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds, to its satisfaction and the same shall be subject to Rs.15,000/- to be paid to the complainant.

Disposed of, accordingly.

This petition is disposed of without issuing notice to the respondents with a view to impart complete justice to the parties and save the huge expenses, which may be incurred by the respondents as also in order to avoid unnecessary delay in adjudication of the matter. Still, if dissatisfied, the respondents may move this Court for recalling this order within three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

September 18, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No