

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: March 21, 2017
CRM-M-35562 of 2016**

Jaspal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CRM-M-36264 of 2016

Paramjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. H.S. Jakhal, Advocate the petitioner(s).

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.100 dated 23.08.2016, under Sections 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Jalalabad, the petitioner(s) seek(s) anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 04.10.2016 and 18.10.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s).

Undoubtedly, the petitioner(s) are husband and wife. There is a receipt showing payment on agreement to sell. FIR clearly show that the petitioner-Paramjit Kaur fully knew well that she was facing suit in respect of property and still, she accepted the amount of earnest

money in the sum of ₹7 lakhs and thereafter, agree to sale to the complainant. There is a clear-cut element of cheating on the part of both husband and wife by suppressing the fact that the suit is pending against them. In that view of the matter, prima-facie case is made out against the petitioner(s).

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) requests for withdrawal of these petitions. The said request is rejected.

Hence, these petitions stand dismissed. Interim orders dated 04.10.2016 and 18.10.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s) are vacated.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

March 21, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No