

**CRM-M-34681-2017 and
CRM-M-38161-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:01.11.2017

1. CRM-M-34681-2017

Jagdish @ Laadi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-38161-2017

Vijay

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-34681-2017.

Mr. Harminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-38161-2017.

Mr. K.S.Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-34681-2017, filed by
petitioner-Jagdish @ Laadi and CRM-M-38161-2017, filed by petitioner-

**CRM-M-34681-2017 and
CRM-M-38161-2017**

Vijay, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.97 dated 24.06.2017, registered at Police Station Division No.2, Pathankot, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 148 and 149 of IPC and Sections 325 and 326 added later on.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that both the petitioners are named in the FIR. Petitioner-Jagdish @ Laadi is stated to be armed with an iron rod and caused grievous injury with blunt weapon on the left ankle of Jaswinder Singh, whereas, petitioner-Vijay is stated to be armed with a punch and caused simple injury below the eye of Jaswinder Singh.

In pursuance of the interim order dated 18.09.2017 passed in CRM-M-34681-2017 by this Court, petitioner-Jagdish @ Laadi has already joined the investigation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find that the petitioners are now not required for custodial interrogation and, therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody. Finding merits in both these petitions, the same are allowed. The order dated

**CRM-M-34681-2017 and
CRM-M-38161-2017**

18.09.2017 passed in CRM-M-34681-2017 by this Court, granting interim bail to petitioner-Jagdish @ Laadi is made absolute.

It is ordered that in the event of arrest, petitioner-Vijay be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer.

However, both the petitiones shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

01.11.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No