

**206-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-3459 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.02.2017.

Sajid and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Vijay Pal.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.611 dated
14.11.2016, registered under Sections 3/13(1), 8/13(3) and 17 of the
Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 at Police
Station Nuh, District Mewat.

On 03.02.2017, this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Contends that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot.
Further, F.I.R is based on secret information and the present
petitioners are also alleged to have been identified by such
secret informer.

Order dated 15.12.2016, passed by a Coordinate Bench of this
Court in CRM No. M-44682 of 2016 (Tahir vs. State of

Haryana) at Annexure P-3 has been adverted to to contend that the co-accused has been granted interim protection.

Notice of motion, returnable for 09.02.2017.

To be heard along with CRM No. M-44682 of 2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and they shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 03.02.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 03.02.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioners to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

09.02.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No