

CRM-M-34550-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34550-2017

Date of Decision:10.10.2017

Suni @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Jammu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anand Kumar Bishnoi, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Suni @ Sonu has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.526 dated 22.10.2016, registered at Police Station Manesar, District Gurgaon, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 328 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through her counsel. They contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-34550-2017

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, the property was sold by co-accused on the basis of forged power of attorney. Neither the petitioner is a witness to the document, nor has impersonated anyone. Neither he has prepared any forged document, nor executed the sale deed. The allegation against the present petitioner is of conspiracy.

The petitioner has been in custody since 15.11.2016. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

10.10.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No