

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3451-2017

Date of decision : 13.02.2017

Jawed

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Namit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a co-accused of the petitioner, i.e. one Sandeep, has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide an order dated 23.01.2017, passed in CRM-M-1213 of 2017. A copy of the said order has been produced in Court today.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, learned State counsel, does not obviously deny the aforesaid fact but only states that though the petitioner is similarly situated, not being a resident of Haryana, if he is to be admitted to be bail on parity, he may be asked to furnish a local surety.

Keeping in view the aforesaid and the fact that the petitioner has been in custody since 04.07.2016 and the trial is not anywhere near conclusion as yet, the petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial

being a person who is resident of the area over which the trial Court has jurisdiction.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 13, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No