

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

278

CRL. MISC. No.M-35336 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 16th FEBRUARY, 2017

Ankur Goel

.... **Petitioner**

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh & another

.... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. A. S. Sullar, Addl. P.P. for U.T. Chandigarh.
 Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for
 Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.156 dated 25.09.2016 registered under Sections 279/337 IPC at Police Station City Maloya, Chandigarh, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 03.10.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and without any threat, coercion

and unduce influence. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioner. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-35336 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.156 dated 25.09.2016 registered under Sections 279/337 IPC at Police Station City Maloya, Chandigarh, is quashed along with all consequential qua the petitioner.

16th February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.