

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34460-2017

Date of decision: September 15, 2017.

Rajiv Kumar alias Raju

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Shri Paras Talwar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order holding that offences under Sections 387/506 IPC are triable by Magistrate and accordingly relegated the matter to the Sessions Judge for further directions. In the light of the impugned order, obviously the matter will go before the Magistrate. The petitioner has ample to apply for discharge or to oppose the framing of charge under Sections 387/506 IPC. Liberty accordingly is granted. The observations made in the impugned order shall not influence the Magistrate in deciding the question of framing of charge.

Disposed of.

September 15, 2017.

kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No