

CRM NO. M-34451 OF 2017

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-34451 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 13.12.2017

Himanshu and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 144 dated 18.08.2017, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is the outcome of a sudden fight between friends. Only simple injuries were caused in the incident and the matter has been compromised. The compromise is placed on record as Annexure P-2.

This Court, by the order dated 15.09.2017 had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the

satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Daljit Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 15.09.2017 the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners having joined investigation and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 15.09.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 13, 2017
kanchan

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>