

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34447-2017

Date of decision: 23.10.2017

Darshna Devi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Nayar, Advocate for respondents No. 4 to 6.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. In the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to safeguard life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. Mr. Deepak Nayar, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 6 in Court today. The same is taken on record. Office to tag the same at the appropriate place.

3. The grouse of the petitioners is that they have moved representation dated 02.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) before respondent No. 2- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, but till date he has not taken any action upon the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset contends that the purpose of the instant petition would be achieved, if respondent

No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, is directed to decide the

representation dated 02.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioners.

5. In view of the above, respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, is directed to look into the matter and decide the representation Annexures P-4, moved by the petitioners, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to both the parties, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The instant petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

October 23, 2017

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No