

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M-35295 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 10, 2017**

Murti Devi

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: None for the petitioner

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking the cancellation of benefit of bail that had been granted to respondent No.2 herein namely Sonu S/o Ram Bhagat vide order dated 17.03.2016 in FIR No.519 dated 08.10.2015, under Sections 306, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Dadri Sadar, District Bhiwani.

On a previous date of hearing i.e. 03.10.2016, this Court had directed counsel for the petitioner to complete instructions and to furnish details towards substantiating his assertion that after passing of the order dated 17.03.2016 while disposing of **CRM-M-2920 of 2016**, the accused namely Sonu (respondent No.2) had misused the concession of regular bail and had obstructed the course of a free and fair trial.

Repeated adjournments have thereafter been sought by

the counsel.

On the last date of hearing i.e. 03.02.2017, counsel chose not to come present.

Today again, in the first call, there was no representation caused on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was passed over. Even on second call, counsel has chosen not to appear.

The only inference that this Court can draw under such circumstances, is that the petitioner is not inclined to pursue the instant petition seeking cancellation of bail to respondent No.2.

Petition is accordingly dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

10.07.2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned : ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***:*** ***Yes/No***