

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34402 of 2017.

Decided on:-29.09.2017.

Surjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for
Mr. T.P.S. Bhatti, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, namely, Surjit Singh and Prabhjit Singh @ Prabhdeep Singh in FIR No.110 dated 20.07.2017 under Section 420 IPC registered at Police Station City Batala, Police District Batala.

In nutshell, the allegations against the petitioners are that they have taken Rs.4 lakhs from complainant Munshi Ram in order to get his son Jagdeep Singh recruited in the Indian Army. They have also prepared fake medical certificate, written test and appointment letter. As per the allegations, the petitioners have also cheated 150 people in the same manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has referred to the communication dated 05.07.2017 (Annexure P-4), whereby the Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab, Chandigarh has informed the Registrar of

this Court that petitioner Surjit Singh is satisfied and does not intend to pursue his representation. He states that it leads to a conclusion that the petitioner was found innocent.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel, on instructions from HC Parkash Singh, states that the petitioner has defrauded not only the complainant, rather, a number of other persons, who are victims at the hands of the petitioners. The petitioners have collected money from various persons on the pretext that they would get them (victims) recruited in the Indian Army.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

So far as the communication dated 05.07.2017 (Annexure P-4) is concerned, the same has no relevance in the present facts and circumstances of the case because in the said communication, it was merely informed that petitioner Surjit Singh does not want to pursue his representation. However, considering the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners, wherein numerous persons have been defrauded by the petitioners, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition for anticipatory bail and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

September 29, 2017
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No