

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-344 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.01.2017**

Nonihal Singh & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate for the petitioners.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

The instant petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. contains a prayer for issuance of directions to the official respondents not to harass the petitioners on account of certain alleged misdeeds of their daughter, Lovepreet Kaur.

Perusal of the pleadings on record would reveal that the assertions and averments are completely vague. No specific instance as regards harassment or threat made out to the petitioners have been furnished. General directions are sought that the petitioners to be not involved in false criminal cases in the future.

In the light of such general and vague assertions, no directions can be issued by this Court.

Disposed of.

11.01.2017

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? No

ii) Whether reportable? No