

203.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34399-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:12.12.2017.

RAKESH KUMAR & ORS

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate, and
Mr. Subhash Godara, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.309 dated 17.06.2017 under Sections 323/506/148/149 IPC (Sections 326 and 307 IPC as well as Section 3 of Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 added lateron), registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to the order dated 23.10.2017 passed by this Court, petitioners have joined the investigation. He further states that even otherwise the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Prem Singh, does not dispute the aforesaid fact. He states that no recovery is required to be effected from the petitioners and their custodial interrogation is no more

required at this stage.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without making any observations on the compromise entered between the parties, but considering the fact that no recovery is required to be effected from the petitioners, coupled with the fact that they have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 23.10.2017 is made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioners shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

12.12.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.