

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-34387 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 23.10.2017**

Neetu Walia

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.127 dated 18.04.2017 under Sections 4(4), 6(B) and Rule 23 (3) of the PC & PNDT Act, 1994 and Section 420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although allegations against the petitioner in the FIR are that money was taken by her husband for aborting fetus of the decoy customer but even as per the contents of the FIR, the decoy customer was not taken to any doctor, who had to conduct the operation. The petitioner is a lady, who is having twins of eight months. He also contends that petitioner is not involved in any other case.

This Court, by the order dated 15.09.2017 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of her arrest, she was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Sham Lal, states that in pursuance to the order dated 15.09.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 15.09.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 23, 2017

shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO