

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34379 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.10.2017

Manu Datana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.513 dated 09.12.2015, registered under Sections 304, 109, 285 read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 25 & 30 of Arms Act, at Police Station Indri, District Karnal.

It is contended that the petitioner has been roped in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. Co-accused, Suresh has been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 28.07.2017. The challan stands presented. The conclusion of trial will take a long time. The petitioner is in custody since 10.12.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the third bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner. Considering the nature of offence, no case for regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.10.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No