

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35218 of 2016

Date of decision: February 20, 2017

Rakesh Kumar alias Sonu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.176 dated 28.08.2016, under Sections 452, 324 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 457, 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Nakodar, District Jalandhar (Rural), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 18.10.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant so also learned State counsel opposed the petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. They submitted that there is injury on the head and forehead caused by the petitioner and the complainant was required to spend amount for treatment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the

petitioner stated that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay an amount of ₹25,000/- by way of demand draft in favour of the complainant within a period of 15 days voluntarily, without prejudice to the rights of the parties.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 18.10.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner and looking to the nature of offence and the injury, is made absolute, subject to the petitioner's paying an amount of ₹25,000/- by way of account payee demand draft within a period of 4 weeks from today in the presence of the Investigating Officer, without prejudice to the rights of the parties. In case, the said amount is not paid within 4 weeks, the present order making the interim order dated 18.10.2016 absolute, shall come to an end and the police shall arrest the petitioner. Petitioner is directed to join the investigation and produce *datar* also to the Investigating Officer.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No