

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1. CRM No. M- 35216 of 2016 (O&M) Date of Decision: 21.04.2017

M/s Khullar Retails

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

--Respondents

2. CRM No. M- 35267 of 2016 (O&M)

M/s Khullar Retails

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Arnav K. Sood, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harpreet K. Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for complainants.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This order shall dispose of CRM No. M-35216 of 2016 (M/s Khullar Retails Vs. State of Punjab & another) and CRM No. M-35267 of 2016 (M/s Khullar Retails Vs. State of Punjab & another) as both these petitions have been preferred by the same petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking the concession of pre-arrest bail in Complaint Case No.4463 and 4464 both dated 08.07.2014 under Sections 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act even though at the hands of the different complainants.

On 30.9.2016, while issuing notice of motion in CRM No. M-35216 of 2016, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Notice of motion for 30.11.2016.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to surrender/appear before the trial Court within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on his appearance, the trial Court shall release him on bail at its satisfaction.”

Likewise, the notice of motion order dated 30.9.2016 passed in CRM No. M-35267 of 2016 reads in the following terms:-

“Notice of motion for 30.11.2016.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to surrender/appear before the trial Court within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on his appearance, the trial Court shall release him on bail at its satisfaction.

To be heard along with CRM No. M-35216 of 2016.”

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainants in both these connected petitions.

During the course of arguments today, it has gone uncontroverted that the petitioner herein has put in appearance before the Trial Court and wherein the matter is now fixed for final arguments.

Under such circumstances, prayer made in both these petitions is accepted. Orders carrying even date i.e. 30.9.2016 passed in CRM No. M-35216 of 2016 and CRM No. M-35267 of 2016, are made absolute.

Petitions disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

21.04.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No