

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

201

Date of Decision: 26.07.2017.

(1) CRM-35178-2016 (O&M)

Neha Bansal	Versus	Petitioner.
State of Punjab		Respondent.

With

(2) CRM-35179-2016 (O&M)

Renu Bala @ Ekta	Versus	Petitioner.
State of Punjab		Respondent.

And

(3) CRM-35212-2016 (O&M)

Nand Lal @ Anand Kumar	Versus	Petitioner.
State of Punjab		Respondent.

And

(4) CRM-35215-2016 (O&M)

Ved Parkash	Versus	Petitioner.
State of Punjab		Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Dr. Rau P.S. Girwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Baath, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Mahipal S. Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

35178 of 2016 filed by petitioner Neha Bansal, CRM-M-35179 of 2016 filed by petitioner Renu Bala @ Ekta, CRM-M-35212 of 2016 filed by petitioner Nand Lal @ Anand Kumar and CRM-35215 of 2016 filed by petitioner Ved Parkash.

Prayer in all the four above petitions under Section 438 Cr.P.C. have been made to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in case F.I.R. No.158 dated 05.08.2016 under Sections 306 and 120-B I.P.C. registered at Police Station Maur Mandi, Bathinda (Annexure-P1).

One Peerdita @ Gaggi (hereinafter referred to as the 'deceased') committed suicide on 20.03.2016. His mother, namely Neelam Rani got registered the aforesaid F.I.R. against the petitioners levelling allegations that petitioner Neha Bansal in connivance with her parents namely, petitioners Ved Parkash, Renu Bala @ Ekta and uncle Nand Lal, planned a love affair with her only son (since deceased) to grab her property and also with an intention to secure her future. Petitioner Neha Bansal also developed physical relations with her deceased son and extracted huge money from him on the pretext of having become pregnant. Petitioner Neha Bansal, her parents and uncle also pressurized her son for marriage on the pretext that they are having objectionable photographs of her son with petitioner Neha Bansal which would be made public, in case, her son would not marry petitioner Neha Bansal. Her parents and uncle were also taunting her son. Petitioner Neha Bansal also made a porn film of her son with the aid of mobile phone and threatened that in case, he would not marry her, he would be implicated in a false case of rape, on account of which her son used to remain in depression and finally committed suicide.

In compliance of the order of this Court dated 07.10.2016, all the petitioners have joined investigation. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Farwinder Singh, has contended that during investigation petitioner Renu Bala @ Ekta, mother of petitioner Neha Bansal was found innocent. Even otherwise, all the petitioners have joined investigation around one year back. No recovery is to be effected from them. Admittedly, no suicide note was left by the deceased showing complicity of any of the accused or abetment to him to commit suicide by them.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, the order dated 07.10.2016 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners in all the aforesaid petitions is made absolute, subject to the condition that the petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

All the four petitions are disposed of accordingly.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

26.07.2017
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No