

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 34323 of 2017
Date of Decision: 14.09.2017**

Harzana Begum and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. B.S. Kathuria, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior D.A.G.,
Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the wife and minor son
of one Meeta Khan, have sought directions for protection of their life and
liberty from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5, who are Local Level
Police Personnel under the Police Station City Barnala, along with a prayer
for advance notice, in case they are required in connection with any
criminal case.

Allegations of the petitioners are that husband of petitioner
No. 1, who has allegedly been framed in some criminal case, is untraceable.

But the Local Police Personnel are pressurizing the present petitioners with illegal demands and had also wrongly handcuffed/chained the petitioners, which is contrary to law, and of which photographs could be taken at the relevant time. Certain other allegations have also been made against respondents No. 4 and 5, but it is not necessary to specify them in this order.

Without commenting on the merits of the petitioners' allegations, the matter is disposed off with the direction upon respondent No. 2 to take cognizance of the petitioners' representation dated 04.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate steps thereafter in accordance with law. Further, if the petitioners are required for any purpose in connection with any actual criminal case, adequate notice under Section 160(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure should be given to them.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

14.09.2017
Apurva

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No