

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

CRL. MISC. No.M-35173 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 14th FEBRUARY, 2017

Ram Mehar & another

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. S. K. Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nishant Arya, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.175 dated 04.12.2011 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Uchana, District Jind, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 30.09.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the

offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-35173 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.175 dated 04.12.2011 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Uchana, District Jind, is quashed along with all consequential, qua the petitioners

14th February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.