

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3426-2017

Date of Decision: 28.02.2017

Jaspreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Kamal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. H.S. Kotli, Advocate,
for respondents no. 4 and 5.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

In response to notice issued, learned State counsel submits that nobody has come to assist him in the matter.

Learned counsel for respondents no. 4 and 5 submits that an FIR has been lodged against petitioner no. 2, on the allegation that he has kidnapped petitioner no. 1 and further, that both the petitioners have taken away jewelry belonging to respondents no. 4 and 5.

Though this petition does not seek quashing of the aforesaid FIR and therefore no specific order in that regard can be passed, however, in view of the fact that petitioner no. 1 approached this Court voluntarily, along with petitioner no. 2, seeking protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no. 4 and 5, stating therein that she had married petitioner no. 2, having gone with him of her own free will being more than

18 years old, *prima facie*, at least, no charge under Section 366 IPC would be made out against petitioner no. 2.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no. 2 and 3 to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is duly protected.

The petitioners would obviously be at liberty to take their remedy in respect of the FIR as per law.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 28, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.