

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

270

CRL. MISC. No.M-35109 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 14th FEBRUARY, 2017

Madan Khan & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. G. S. Nahel, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Munish Raj, Advocate for

Mr. Ranjit Singh Sidhu, Advocate respondent No. 2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.122 dated 03.08.2012 registered under Sections 326, 324, 323 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 30.09.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it

is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-35109 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.122 dated 03.08.2012 registered under Sections 326, 324, 323 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

14th February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.