

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3510-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 31.01.2017

AMIT KUMAR

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Roshan Lal.

Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate and
Mr. J.S. Mehendiratta, Advocate
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.35, dated 25.03.2015, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC'), at Police Station Nurpur Bedi, District Rupnagar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the order dated 05.02.2016, the petitioner has repeatedly joined the investigation. He states that the dispute is of civil nature.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in

pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

The learned counsel for the complainant states that the complainant is ready and willing to execute the sale deed of the entire land in agreement. The learned counsel for the petitioner accepts the said proposal.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 05.02.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned and the parties are free to negotiate the matter.

The petition stands allowed.

31.01.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No