

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-34219 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.9.2017

Pardeep Kumar Tayagi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has grievance that he stood surety for one Satpal regarding purchase of a car. On the complaint of respondent No. 4, the petitioner is being harassed, asking him to find out said Satpal or pay the sale money.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali (respondent No. 2) to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take necessary action in accordance with law.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.9.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No