

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35016-2016

Date of Decision:06.10.2017

Sajjan Singh

.....PETITIONER

V/S

State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr.Rajat Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.959, dated 12.11.2014, registered under Sections 409 & 420 IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, District Gurgaon.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioners were granted interim bail by this court vide order dated 29.09.2016.

Looking to the fact that the prosecution alleged fraud and wrongful gain on the part of the petitioner from the public money. This Court on 05.09.2017 made an order to the effect to take immediate steps to attach the property of the petitioner according to law.

Today, learned State counsel make a statement that accordingly the action of the attachment of the property of the petitioner has been made.

Statement is accepted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner now makes a statement that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish solvent security to the satisfaction of the concerned Magistrate, so as to secure the interest of the government.

I think instead of attachment of property, suggestion made by learned counsel for the petitioner can be accepted subject to the petitioner

furnishing solvent security in the sum of Rs. 20 lacs worth property with the concerned Magistrate as against the projected loss of Rs.13 lacs.

In that view of the matter, subject to the petitioner furnishing security worth Rs.20 lacs in respect of the property before the concerned Magistrate, interim order dated 29.09.2017 is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

06.10.2017
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No