

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-3411 of 2017
Date of decision: 25.04.2017**

Rohit Handa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Wadhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 600 dated 29.08.2013, under Sections 354-C/354-D/506/509/120-B IPC and Sections 66-E/67/67-A of Information Technology Act, registered at Police Station, Civil Lines, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 16.01.2017 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Isha Bhasin-respondent No.2 (complainant) with the allegation that her sister-in-law Aarti Bhasin and Rohit Handa-petitioner were sending her nude pictures on Whatsapp messenger. When the complainant asked them not to do so, they gave her beatings. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated 16.01.2017 (Annexure P-2). In this

regard, complainant has given her affidavit (Annexure P-3).

In compliance with the order dated 14.03.2017 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Gurugram, has been received in this regard. As per report, Eisha Bhasin-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 01.04.2017 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioner out of her own free will and without any pressure or coercion. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Separate statement of Rohit Handa-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 600 dated 29.08.2013, under Sections 354-C/354-D/506/509/120-B IPC and Sections 66-E/67/67-A of Information Technology Act, registered at Police Station, Civil Lines, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

25.04.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE