

**CRM-M-34083-2017 and
CRM-M-35381-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:24.10.2017

1. CRM-M-34083-2017

Gurpreet Singh @ Kaka and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-35381-2017

Dilpreet Singh @ Babbu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-34083-2017 filed by
petitioners-Gurpreet Singh @ Kaka and Gursimran Singh @ Prince and
CRM-M-35381-2017, filed by petitioner-Dilpreet Singh @ Babbu, under

**CRM-M-34083-2017 and
CRM-M-35381-2017**

Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.45 dated 06.06.2017, registered at Police Station Singh Bhagwantpur, District Rupnagar, under Sections 323, 341, 325, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC, Section 326 of IPC added later on and Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that though petitioner-Gurpeet Singh @ Kaka was stated to be armed with a thick rod, petitioner-Gursimran Singh @ Prince was stated to be armed with a stick and petitioner-Dilpreet singh @ Babbu was stated to be armed with a rod, but no specific injury has been attributed to them.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 14.09.2017 passed in CRM-M-34083-2017 and dated 21.09.2017 passed in CRM-M-35381-2017 by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 14.09.2017 passed in

**CRM-M-34083-2017 and
CRM-M-35381-2017**

CRM-M-34083-2017 and dated 21.09.2017 passed in CRM-M-35381-2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

24.10.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No