

259
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

-.-
CRM-M No. 34816-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 3.4.2017

Manpreet Singh and ors

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate for petitioners.
Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 80 dated 26.8.2013 under Sections 498/A and 406 IPC registered at Police Station Bassi Pathana District Fatehgarh Sahib is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 1.9.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 30.9.2011. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise dated 1.9.2016. In compliance of order dated 29.9.2016 report dated 23.12.2016 of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Fatehgarh Sahib has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 19.1.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910*, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 80 dated 26.8.2013 under Sections 498/A and 406 IPC registered at Police Station Bassi Pathana District Fatehgarh Sahib, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

3.4.2017
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No