

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-33957 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 23.10.2017**

Mohinder Singh and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.16 dated 15.02.2016 under Section 420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Kot Isah Khan, District Moga.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that allegations in the FIR are primarily against the main accused Mithu Singh and money is stated to have been paid at the house of Nirbah Singh. He further contends that petitioners have been unnecessarily roped in and the similarly situated co-accused namely Kulwinder Kaur and Gurmail Kaur, had been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM No. M-22863 of 2016 on 17.10.2016.

This Court, by the order dated 26.09.2017 had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of

their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Mukhinder Singh, states that in pursuance to the order dated 26.09.2017, the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 26.09.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 23, 2017

shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO