

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16882 of 1998 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.08.2017

A.R. Pooni

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Pleadings on record would indicate that the petitioner, who was serving as Tourist Officer, Tourist Information Centre, Punjab Govt., New Delhi had instituted the instant writ petition in the year 1998 praying for the issuance of directions to consider his claim and to promote him as Tourist Officer w.e.f. the date private respondent no.3 had been so promoted along with other consequential benefits. Further directions were sought to promote the petitioner as Assistant Director, Tourism Department from the date his alleged junior, private respondent no.4 had been so promoted.

The instant petition was admitted for final adjudication vide order dated 28.11.2002 by a Division Bench of this Court.

Office report would indicate that letters have been issued to Mr. Yogesh Putney, Advocate as also Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate, who at different points of time had represented the petitioner.

No representation has been caused on behalf of the petitioner.

As per averments on record petitioner had joined as Guide-cum-Clerk in the Department of Tourism on 13.10.1964. Clearly petitioner

must have superannuated quite some time back.

The only inference that this Court can draw is that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the instant litigation.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty, however, is granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the petition by filing an appropriate application and by demonstrating that any grievance/cause of action still survives.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

22.08.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No