

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33803-2017

Date of decision: September 13, 2017.

Subhash Chander Goyal

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Shri Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Notice of motion. Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana waives service.

Heard Learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.198 dated 9.6.2011, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and 13(1)(d) (ii) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 added later on, registered at Police Station Tauru, District Mewat. Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited my attention to the order dated 7.10.2016 made in OA No.060/00194/2016 (Annexure P-9) by which the CAT has quashed the charge sheet which was departmentally issued against the petitioner.

The FIR relates to the year 2011. The petitioner has since retired from service of the Government. The only allegation against the petitioner is that he had made an order while acting as a Director when he had no authority to do so. Even if it is true, there is no need to have arrest

of the petitioner in the aforesaid FIR. In that view of the matter, the petition for anticipatory bail is allowed to the satisfaction of the arresting officer as well as the trial court.

September 13, 2017.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No