

**IOIN-FAO No.37 of 1998 in
FAO No.37 of 1998**

PAWAN KUMAR & ORS. V/S RAM GOPAL & ORS.

Present: Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate for
Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Harjinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Learned proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants
seeks adjournment.

A perusal of the file reveals that even on previous four
consecutive dates, none has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants. It
appears that learned counsel for the appellant is not interested to pursue the
matter. Moreover, vide order dated November 03, 2016, it was also made
clear that in the event of no representation on behalf of learned counsel for
the appellant, the appeal shall be dismissed for non-prosecution and for
previous date fresh notice to respondents No.1 and 2 were required to be
issued on furnishing the fresh addresses as per office report which are not
being furnished by the counsel for the appellant.

Dismissed for non-compliance.

March 09, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE