

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-33768 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 26.10.2017.

Surjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. A.G.S. Dhillon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gulnoor Ghuman, AAG Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking bail in case FIR No.06 dated 05.01.2016 registered under Sections 306 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Samana, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Even if the contents of the FIR are taken at their face value, it does not constitute an offence under Section 306 IPC as there was neither any incitement nor abetment on the part of the petitioner. It is further contended that in fact, the petitioner spoke to his father-in-law to take his quarrelsome daughter back. The petitioner is in custody since 06.01.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes

the bail application. It is informed that the statement of the complainant has already been recorded.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the challan stands already presented and the statement of the complainant stands recorded so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

26.10.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No