

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-33763 of 2017
Date of decision:27.9.2017

Sanjeev Kumar ... Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Naveen Batra, Advocate,
for the petitioners
Mr.Sandeep Maan, AAG, Punjab
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though very obviously the Punjab Police is not interested in arresting the petitioner, as for the past 10 months no arrest has been made, however, today learned counsel for the petitioner, pursuant to the order of this Court dated 13.9.2017, submits that the petitioner is willing to deposit Rs.1,00,000/-, that he had undertaken to deposit, as recorded in the order dated 18.11.2016, passed in CRM No.M-41120 of 2016.

Almost a year having gone by, payment of Rs.1,00,000/- at this stage is not considered sufficient to grant the petitioner concession of anticipatory bail, when in any case he is obviously not being seriously 'pursued' by the police. However, the money stated to have been paid by the complainant being Rs.3,65,000/-, as alleged, I see absolutely no reason to entertain this petition, the money allegedly having been taken by the petitioner for providing a government job to the complainant.

As to what action the police is taking against the complainant, for him allegedly having given a bribe, is also not forthcoming anywhere.

Be that as it may, the allegations being what they are, this petition is dismissed.

However, nothing stated herein above would be taken to be any comment on the merits of the allegations made against the petitioner, which would be subject matter of investigation and the trial, if it comes to that stage.

27.9.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No