

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3376 of 2017

Date of Decision: 02.02.2017

Jaspreet Singh @ Jassa

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. J.S. Attar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl, AG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in respect of cognizable offence, telephonic call was given to the police on police No.181 and the complaint was accordingly registered vide diary No.1453647, but no action was taken by the SHO. Thereafter, petitioner filed representation dated 15.12.2016 before respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl, AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the respondents as no prejudicial order to the interest of the parties is being observed.

At this stage, this petition can be disposed of by

directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioner as projected in the aforesaid representation and pass appropriate order in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to join the necessary parties while ascertaining nature of alleged offence committed by anyone (if any).

Disposed of.

02.02.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No