

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33648-2017

Date of decision: September 12, 2017.

Gurpreet Singh @ Babbu

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri PKS Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Shri Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. Dy. AG, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Notice of motion. Mr. Sandhu waives service.

Rule. Heard forthwith.

The bail that was granted to the petitioner was cancelled on his remaining absent without any intimation to the trial court during trial. It is not in dispute that the petitioner was on regular bail during trial in FIR No. 61 dated 15/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda. He has further stated that there are two more cases against him but for holding of quantity of non commercial nature.

Without finding any fault with the trial court order, the petitioner is allowed bail to the satisfaction of the trial court on furnishing fresh bail bonds to its satisfaction subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- with the trial court payable to the State of Punjab.

The petitioner undertakes to appear before the trial court with promptitude. If the petitioner remains absent, the trial court is at liberty to take him into custody.

September 12, 2017.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No