

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-34501 of 2016
Decided on: 30.05.2017**

Rewat Verma and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Amit Kohar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate for respondent No.2.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.610 dated 11.12.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') registered in Police Station Civil Line, Rohtak, District Rohtak on the basis of compromise dated 19.09.2016 (Annexure P2) effected between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered at the instance of Sonu Verma daughter of Sh. Amarnath Verma. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise (Annexure P2).

The parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 23.03.2017 to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

A report has been submitted by the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, wherein it has been reported that statements of the petitioners and respondent No.2 (complainant) have

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for respondent No.2 has not disputed that the parties have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 of the Code. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in ***“Gian Singh vs State of Punjab and another”***, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

30.05.2017
yakub

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No